

SECRET

SCI/Unit Z/Florence

Memo 27

21 May 1945

SUBJECT: Interrogation of Dr. Walter SEGNA and Stbf. Klaus HUEGEL Regarding Countess Nella SAVORGNANI nee ~~CECILIA~~ alias PETROVIC;

TO: O.C., CSDIC/Rome

1. Reference is made to CSDIC/SC/15 AG/SD 1, Interrogation of Dr. Walter SEGNA, and CSDIC/CMF/SD 2, Interrogation of Stbf. Klaus HUEGEL.
2. This Unit is most desirous of obtaining complete details on the relations of Countess SAVORGNANI with the GIS.
3. Reference is made to para. 34, Interrogation of Dr. SEGNA, in which he states that he was introduced to Countess SAVORGNANI by Major HUEGEL in January 1945. This Unit desires full information on Major HUEGEL's contacts with Countess SAVORGNANI and her role in development of German policy in the TRIESTE area; also, whether Countess SAVORGNANI is an agent of the Slovene Secret Service, and, if so, what is known concerning her past activities, her present affiliations, and her interest in the problems of Northeastern Italy and TRIESTE.
4. Concerning SEGNA, we desire expansion with full details of his account as given in paras. 34-38, 41, and 44 of his interrogation report.
5. We desire also to know names of other persons in the GIS or the Slovene Service with whom the Countess has been in contact.
6. Countess SAVORGNANI is at present under interrogation by our Unit in MILAN, and the answers to the above questions are indispensable to this end. Therefore, it would be appreciated if this Unit could be informed as quickly as possible.

mme

CC:

SCI/Z Rome

AC of S, G-2(Ib) 15th Army Group

AC of S, G-2(CI) AFHQ

RAYMOND G. ROCCA

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES/METHODS EXEMPTION 25X2  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2001 2006

BEST AVAILABLE

RECEIVED MAY 21

SECRET

TARGETS	READ By
CARGED	
OK F	
CT	

*Handwritten: P. HUEGEL*

*Handwritten: Dup. file*

SCI/UNIT Z/Florence

Memo 21

19 May 1945

SUBJECT: SCI Unit Z Comments and Queries in the Interrogation of Stbnfr. Vr. Klaus HUEGEL

TO: CSDIC, Rome

Refer your CSDIC/CMF/SD 2 & 3

1. The following interrogations (approximate mission date indicated) in which Subject figures directly provide a skeletal framework for a more detailed survey of the activity of his unit since the fall of Rome:

- a. Giuliano NERI, August 1944, CSDIC/CMF/Z 128
- b. Giorgio COJANA, August 1944, 808 CS Bn.
- c. Pietro SPERA, August 1944, NA 712A/39
- d. Ivo BARDANI, October 1944, NA 712A/122
- e. Anthony SAPONARO, October 1944, CSDIC/CMF/Z 118
- f. Leonardo DE NEVALQUEZ, October 1944, CSDIC/CMF/Z 117
- g. Fernandino PIEGAI, November 1944, NA 712A/123
- h. Rocco MOLINARI, November 1944, NA 712A/130
- i. Eugenio CESARIO, November 1944, JRX-348
- j. Franco PINTUS, January 1945, CSDIC/CMF/Z 139
- k. Giulio TERZOLI, January 1945, CSDIC/CMF/Z 140

2. On the basis of the above interrogations and the two interrogations of Subject already circulated, the following comments and queries are submitted by this Unit for use in subsequent interrogations.

File No.

CASERTA-X-2 PIS-12

Doc. #48

*Handwritten: 3*

SECRET

MICROFILME

*Handwritten: 19 MAY 45*

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

3. Subject stated on page 4, CSDIC/CMF/SD/2, "Agents were as a rule only dispatched from Abt VI or the Bds itself or from Einheit Ida". What then was the dispatching agency and personnel in the case of Marcello MUNGAI (808 CS Bn interrogation) allegedly ordered for a pin mission in Livorno by a Lt. MULLER and recruiter Enrico MICALEFF for SD Turin?

4. We would most appreciate an amplification by Subject of his discussion (CSDIC/CMF/SD/2, page 3) of the precise relationships and distinctions between the four territorially organized SSuPFs West, Mitte, Alpen Vorland; HSSuPF Adriatisches Kuestenland and the three operationally advisory Bereichshaber to the Hoechster SSuPF Italien. The diagram in Appendix A of the report does not make clear the distinction made in the text between the territorial and advisory dependencies of the Hoechster SS organization.

5. On the basis of Appendix B and C of his first interrogation, Subject has omitted all mention of Brescia either as an AKO or an APN. The interrogations of Giorgio LEO (CSDIC/CMF/Z 76) and PINTUS indicate that Bds maintained some form of representation in Brescia at least until December 1944.

6. On page 3, CSDIC/CMF/SD/3, Subject states that "although post-occupational plans for Florence were his responsibility, HASS was in sole charge of the execution of the work". This statement should be checked against the interrogations of Giuliano NERI, Giorgio COJANA, who had Florence missions prior to the fall of the city in which only Subject and his Verona staff were concerned. Subject also was solely responsible for the Pietro SPERA mission immediately after the fall of Florence.

7. It should be noted that in his discussion of SD pin-networks (CSDIC/CMF/SD/3, pp 4 ff) Subject omitted all references to the SD Parma network now liquidated (see interrogations of Francesco VISALLI, Silvano MUGNAINI, and Angelo MATERASSI, JRX-423, 424, and 425 respectively).

8. This Unit would appreciate further information on the following:

- a. What does Subject know about the Father BIONI Mission?

REF ID: A66666  
CLASSIFIED BY: [illegible]  
DATE: [illegible]

S E C R E T

(3)

- b. What was behind the episode of "the letter from HUEGEL to BEGUS" mentioned by CESARIO (see interrogation of Eugenio CESARIO, JRX-348)? What were the lines of policy developed by Subject re the MGIR (cf. Key, JRX-365,0).
  - c. Was Pietro SPERA sent by Subject to penetrate Allied intelligence agencies as suspected (see NA 712A/39)? If so, what details on these agencies were given to SPERA during his briefing?
  - d. What does Subject know about Meldekopf HEINRICH or the Polish Corps penetration program carried out from Verona in fall of 1944?
  - e. Can Subject identify and give amplifying details on the personnel and locations given in the interrogation of Ivo BARDANI (NA 712A/122): Maresciallo ZIMMERMANN, Capt. MULLER, Lt. GALLAND?
  - f. Did FERRARI's mission companion who successfully penetrated Italian army unit and re-crossed the lines on a patrol, return to Verona?
9. The schedule of Subject's known operations (para. 1, a-k above) contains gaps which Subject should be required to fill in. As it is probable that the agents sent to AOT by him in August 1944 were not his first agents, Subject should be required to account for his dispatching activities prior to August, during September, during December, and since the beginning of January 1945.

mme

CO:

AC of S, G-2(Ib) 15th Army Group

SCI/Unit 2 Rome

File

RAYMOND G. ROCCA

S E C R E T

BEST AVAILABLE COPY